



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/541,844

01/06/2006

Bum-Gyu Choi

29137.074.00

8704

30827

7590

06/22/2009

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

CAMERON, ERMA C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/22/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/541,844	Applicant(s) CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner /Erma Cameron/	Art Unit 1792	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>5/14/2009</u> . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a) Claim 4: there is no antecedent basis for “the organic silane resins” in line 1.

b) Claim 4: there is no antecedent basis for “said organic silane compounds” in line 2.

c) Claim 4, definition of formula 4: “or linear or” does not make sense.

d) Claim 6: “in the above” is vague.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa et al (US2001/0055892) taken in view of KR 20020097415 or KR 20030000709.

‘892 teaches formation of an insulating film on a semiconductor device by hydrolyzing and condensing a silane of formula 1-3 and formula 4. In formula 1, R may be H, thus being hydrosilane. Water and organic solvent are present. A base catalyst like ammonia is also present. After application to a substrate, the coating is dried and cured. [0006]-[0015] [0046] [0129]-[0130]

In formula 1 of ‘892, when R=H and a=1, formula 1 meets the limitations of Formula 1 of claim 1.

The compounds of claim 4 are not required, as “other than hydrosilane compounds” is not a requirement of claim 2. However, formula 2 of ‘892 meets the limitations of formula 3 of claim 4 where p=0 and R4 is alkoxyl.

‘892 fails to teach that the MW is at least 5000.

Both ‘415 and ‘709 teach that the MW of similar hydrogen containing Si resins is 500 to 1 million, with the desirable range between 1000 and 1 million (‘415: page 15 of translation; ‘709: page 12 of translation). Overlapping ranges are prima facie evidence of obviousness.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the MW of the '415 or '709 resins, and to select the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the references because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness.

See In re Malagari 182 USPQ 549

10. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 20020097415.

See translation.

'415 teaches making an insulating membrane by hydrolysis condensing Chemical Formula 1 and 2. If R5 is H in formula 2, the polymer would meet the limitations of formula 1 of claim 1 (pages 2-3 of translation). Additives such as organic molecules may be present (p 10). A base catalyst may be present (p 14). The MW of the formed polymer is 500-1000000, which overlaps with the MW range claimed by applicant (p 15). After application to a semiconductor or other electronic device, the coating is dried and cured (p 17, 19).

Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued that '415 teaches use of an acid catalyst. However, '415 also teaches use of a base catalyst (page 14).

11. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 20030000709.

See translation.

‘709 teaches making an insulating membrane by hydrolysis condensing Chemical Formula 1, 2, 4 and 4. Formula 1 overlaps with formula 1 of claim 1, and formula 3 overlaps with formula 4 of claim 4 (pages 5-7 of translation). Additives such as organic molecules may be present (p 12). A base catalyst may be present (p 11). The MW of the formed polymer is 500-1000000, which overlaps with the MW range claimed by applicant (p 12). After application to a semiconductor or other electronic device, the coating is dried and hardened (p 13-16).

Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued that ‘709 teaches use of an acid catalyst. However, ‘709 also teaches use of a base catalyst (page 14).

12. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albano et al (US2002/0106500).

‘500 teaches forming a low dielectric material to be used on electronic devices with hydridosilane, organic solvent and a base catalyst as starting materials. [0017] [0024] [0026] [0033] [0035] Additives such as water and fillers maybe present [0034] [0036] The MW of the resulting resin is 1200 to 100000, which overlaps with the range claimed by applicant. The starting silane meets the limitations of formula 1.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Erma Cameron/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erma Cameron/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1792

June 16, 2009